

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,411	12/20/2001	Lain-Tze Lee	LEEL3002/EM	5019
23364 75	590 06/19/2003			
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		EXAMINER		
			KILLOS,	KILLOS, PAUL J
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. EXAMINER **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED: This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on\_ This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire Three month(s), \_\_\_\_ Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 \_\_days from the date of this letter. Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. Claims\_ are pending in the application. Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims\_ have been cancelled. 3. Claims are allowed. 6. Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on \_ examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). \_\_\_. has (have) been approved by the 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed \_ has been approved; disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

Application/Control Number: 10/022,411

Art Unit: 1623

#### STATEMENT OF STATUTORY BASIS, 35 USC 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

#### 102(b) ACTIVITY MORE THAN ONE YEAR PRIOR TO FILING

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

### REJECTION 35 USC 102 (b) PATENT OR PUBLICATION

Claims 1-4, 7, 9, 13, 19-22, 23 and 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 91/00265 published 10 January 1991.

The reference teaches the compounds of the claims seated above, their pharmaceutical properties as anticancer agents and method for preparation.

UTILITY REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C 101 AND 35 U.S.C 112 FIRST PARAGRAPH

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility a credible or a well established utility.

Sufficient evidence or experimentation is lacking in the specification to show that the claimed compound can cure a patient with AIDS. Because such utility for the claimed invention is not specific for the reasons set forth above, credibility cannot be asserted.

Claim 22 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific asserted utility a credible, sufficient evidence by experimentation for curing a patient with AIDS or a well

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/022,411

Art Unit: 1623

established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Claims 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14-18 are objected to as being dependent on rejected claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Killos whose telephone number is 703-308-0135. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday; alternate Mondays 9 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 703-308-4532. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Killos/tgd June 12, 2003 PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU. 1628